

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address Commissioners of Patents AND TRADEMARES
Washington 1 1 2 2 1

WWW Options

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A LIORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 695,516	10 24 2000	Robert B. Staszewski	11-30674	3115
23494	590 12 24 2002			
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			FXAMINER	
P O BOX 655474, M S 3999 DALLAS, TX - 75265			KINKEAD. /	ARNOLD M
			ARLUNII	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12-24-2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Approant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/695,516	STASZEWSKI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Arnold M Kinkead	2817			
Period to	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a) In no event, however, may a reply by ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely rom the mailing date of this communication DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	<u>September 2002</u> .				
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
·	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application	า				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) 1-10,17-23 and 25 is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 11-15 is/are rejected.					
7)⊡	Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c on Papers	or election requirement.				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
	The second species of the part of the second of the analysis	o seest education of the	on and the second			
100	acknowledgment is made of a claim for comes					
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Discussive Statements) (PTO-144% Paper Noise	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
		ì	S. S			

Application/Control Number: 09/695,516

Art Unit: 2817

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 11-15 and 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fourtet(US 6,345,173). The reference by Fourtet et al discloses a PLL system(see figures 3 and 4) with direct modulation (combination of modulating data and phase error) tuning input to a VCO(160,260); also, channel selection by way of the variable feedback divider(263) is shown. Not in Col. 6, lines 52 55, that an adjustable attenuator(not shown) maybe

Application/Control Number: 09/695,516

Art Unit: 2817

 The reference does not show a DCO implementation with tuning control word input (such as a DAC and analog VCO).

With regards this, the use of a DCO instead of the analog VCO alone is conventional and notoriously well known in the art. All digital PLL systems incorporating the digitally controlled VCO have been used in the prior art to allow a more compact and integrated system especially for digital communication systems.

In light of the above it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to have recognized that the loop of Fourtet et all could be implemented in digital form so as to take advantage of the integrated package.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-10, 17-23 and 25 are allowed

4. Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnold M Kinkead whose telephone number is 703-305-3486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30 am -5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on 703-308-4909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7724 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final

Application/Control Number: 09/695,516

Art Unit: 2817

Arnold M Kinkead Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817

Arnold Kinkead
December 20, 2002

ARNOLD KINKEAD
PRIMARY EXAMINER